Federal Communications Commission		DA 02-3368
Before the Federal Communications Commission		DEC 0 9 2002
Washington In the Matter of	Washington, D.C. 20554	
Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service))	
Blackduck Telephone Company))	
and) CC Docket No. 96-45	
Arvig Telephone Company))	
Joint Petition for Waiver of the Definition of "Study Area" Contained in the Part 36, Appendix- Glossary of the Commission's Rules and Related Waiver of Section 54.305 of the Commission's Rules)))	

ORDER

Adopted: December 5,2002 Released: December 6,2002

By the Acting Chief, Telecommunications Access Policy Division:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. In this Order, we grant a request from Blackduck Telephone Company (Blackduck) and Arvig Telephone Company (Arvig) for a waiver of the definition of "study area" contained in the Part 36 Appendix-Glossary of the Commission's rules.' This waiver will permit Arvig to remove from its Minnesota study area the **Ash** River exchange, which comprises approximately 116 access lines. This waiver also will permit Blackduck to include the Ash River exchange in its Minnesota study area. We deny Blackduck's request for waiver of section 54.305 of the Commission's rules for the reasons stated herein.

II. BACKGROUND

2. <u>Study Area Boundaries</u>. A study area is a geographic segment of **an** incumbent local exchange carrier's (LEC's) telephone operations. Generally, a study area corresponds to an incumbent LEC's entire service territory within a state. Thus, incumbent LECs operating in more than one state typically have one study area for each state. The Commission froze all study area boundaries effective November 15, 1984, and an incumbent LEC must **apply** to the Commission for a **waiver** of the **study**

¹ Blackduck Telephone Company and Arvig Telephone Company, Joint petition for Waiver of the Definition of "Study Area" Contained in Part 36. Appendix-Glossary of the Commission's Rules and Related Waiver of Section 54.305 Of the Commission's Rules (filed Feb. 6, 2001) (Petition).

² 41 C.F.R.§ 36 app. (defining "study area"). See MTS and WATS Marker Structure. Amendment of Part 67 of the Commission's Rules and Establishment of a Joint Board, CC Docket Nos. 18-12, 80-286, Recommended Decision and Order, 49 Fed. Reg. 48325 (1984); Decision and Order, 50 Fed. Reg. 939 (1985); see also Amendment of Part (continued....)

area boundary freeze if it wishes to sell or purchase additional exchanges.'

3. Transfer of Universal Service Support. Section 54.305 of the Commission's rules provides that a carrier acquiring exchanges from an unaffiliated carrier shall receive the same per-line levels of high-cost universal sei-vice support for which the acquired exchanges were eligible prior to their transfer.' For example, if a rural carrier purchases an exchange from a non-rural carrier that receives support based on the Commission's high-cost support mechanism for non-rural carriers, the loops of the acquired exchange shall receive the same per-line support as calculated under the non-rural mechanism, regardless of the support the rural carrier purchasing the exchange may receive for any other exchanges.' Under the Commission's revised high-cost loop support mechanism for rural carriers, a rural carrier may be eligible to receive additional support for new investments in acquired exchanges under the Commission's "safety valve" mechanism. Section 54.305 is meant to discourage carriers from

Pending Commission review of a study area waiver request and consistent with Part 36 of the Commission's rules, parties involved in the transfer of access lines are reminded to continue filing combined cost data for the subject study area with the National Exchange Carrier Association (NECA). See 47 C.F.R. §§ 36.601-36.631. Such cost data is used by NECA to determine carrier eligibility for high-cost universal service support.

^{4 47} C.F.R. § 54.305

The mechanism for non-rural carriers directs support to carriers based on the forward-looking economic cost of operating a given exchange. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 54.309. In the event that support provided to a non-rural carrier in a given stare is less under the forward-looking methodology, the carrier may be eligible for interim hold-harmless support, which is equal to the amount ofsupport for which the non-rural carrier would have been eligible under the Commission's existing high-cost support mechanism, subject to phase-down. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 54.311. Beginning January I, 200 I, the Commission began phasing down interim hold-harmless support, excluding LTS, through annual \$1.00 reductions in average monthly, per-line support until there is no more interim hold-harmless support available. *See Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service*, CC Docket No. 96-45, Thirteenth Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 15 FCC Rcd 24422 (2000).

Rural carriers receive high-cost loop support based on the extent to which their reported average cost per loop exceeds 115 percent of the nationwide average cost per loop. See 41 C.F.R. §§ 36.601-36.631. The Commission increased the total amount of high-cost loop support available to rural carriers based on uncapped support amounts for the calendar year 2000, plus 3 rural growth factor equal to the sum of annual changes in the total number of working loops for rural carriers and the Gross Domestic Product-Chained Price Index (GDP-CPI). See Federal-State Joinr Board on Universal Service, and Multi-Association Group (MAG) Planfor Regulation & Interstate Services of Non-Price Cap incumbent Local Exchange Carriers and Interexchange Carriers, Fourteenth Report and Order, Twenty-Second Order on Reconsideration, and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 96-45, and Report and Order in CC Docket No. 00-256, 16 FCC Rcd 11244 (2001) (RTF Order), as corrected by Errata, CC Docket Nos. 96-45, 00-256 (Acc. Pol. Div. rel. Jun. 1, 2001).

¹ See 47 C.F.R. § 54.305(b)-(f); see also RTF Order, 16 FCC Rcd at 11276-11284. The "safety valve" mechanism enables rural carriers acquiring access lines to receive additional support over a period of five years reflecting post-transaction investments made to enhance the infrastructure of and improve the service in these exchanges. Safety valve support provides up to 50 percent of any positive difference between a rural carrier's index year hi&-cost loop support expense adjustment for the acquired exchanges and subsequent year expense adjustments. The total safety valve support available to all eligible study areas is limited to no more than five percent of rural incumbent local exchange carrier support available from the annual high-cost loop fund.

transferring exchanges merely to increase their share of high-cost universal service support, especially during the Coinmission's transition to universal service support mechanisms that provide support to carriers based on the forward-looking economic cost of operating a given exchange.' High-cost support mechanisms currently include ion-rural carrier forward-looking high-cost support, 'Pinterim hold-harmless support for non-rural carriers,'" rural carrier high-cost loop support," local switching support, and Long Term Support (LTS). To the extent that a carrier acquires exchanges receiving any of these forms of support, the acquiring carrier will receive the same per-line levels of support for which the acquired exchanges were eligible prior to their transfer, except as provided for under the Commission's "safety valve" mechanism. Section 54.305 of the Commission's rules does not apply to the transfer of interstate access universal service support for price cap local exchange carriers or interstate common line support (ICLS) for rate-of-return local exchange carriers."

4. <u>The Petition for Waiver</u>. On February 6, 2001, Blackduck, an incumbent LEC currently serving approximately 1,604 access lines in Minnesota, and Arvig, an incumbent LEC currently serving approximately 12,300 access lines in Minnesota, filed a joint petition for waiver of the definition of "study area" contained in the Part 36 Appendix-Glossary of the Commission's rules. The requested waiver would permit Arvig to remove the **Ash** River exchange from its Minnesota study area, and permit Blackduck to include the Ash River exchange in its existing Minnesota study area. Blackduck also seeks a waiver of section 54.305 of the Commission's rules to receive high-cost support based on the average cost of all access lines under its ownership, rather than the per-line level of support for which the acquired lines were eligible prior to their transfer. If granted the requested waiver, Blackduck estimates that it would receive, on average, an additional \$61,689 annually in high-cost support. Absent the

⁸ See Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, Report and Order, 12 FCC Rcd 8176, 8942-43 (1997) (First Report and Order), at corrected by Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Errata, CC Docket No. 96-45, FCC 97-157 (rel. June 4, 1997), affirmed in part, reversed in part and remanded in part sub nom. Texas Office & Public Utility Counsely, FCC, 183 F.3d 393 (5th Cir. 1999).

⁹ See 47 C.F.R. § 54.309

¹⁰ See47 C.F.R. § 54.311

¹¹ See47 C.F.R. §§ 36.601-36.631

¹² Incumbent LECs that are designated eligible telecommunications carriers and serve study areas with 50,000 or fewer access lines receive support for local switching costs. 47 C.F.R. § 54.301. Local switching support enables participants to assign a greater proportion of local switching costs to the interstate jurisdiction.

¹³ Carriers that participate in the NECA common line pool are eligible **to** receive LTS. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 54.303. LTS supports interstate access rates for carriers that are members of the NECA pool, by reducing the amount of interstate-allocated loop costs that such carriers must recover through carrier common line charges. *See First Report and Order*, 12 FCC Rcd at 9163-9165

¹⁴ See 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.801-809. Sections 54.801-54.802 of the Commission's rules describes how interstate access support will be calculated for exchanges acquired by price cap LECs. 47 C.F.R. § 54.801.

¹⁵ See 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.901-904. Section 54.902 of the Commission's rules describes how interstate common line support will be calculated for exchanges acquired by rate-of-return LECs. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 54.902.

¹⁶ Petition at 3

waiver, Blackduck estimates it would receive the approximately \$26,465 in support for which the acquired access lines currently are eligible." On March 8,2001, the Common Carrier Bureau (Bureau) released a public notice seeking comment on the petition." The United States Telephone Association (USTA) tiled comments in support of the petition.

III. DISCUSSION

A. Study Area Waiver

- 5. We find that good cause exists to waive the definition of study area contained in the Part 36 Appendix-Glossary of the Commission's rules to permit Arvig to remove the Ash River exchange from its Minnesota study ai-ea, and permit Blackduck to include the Ash River exchange in its Minnesota study area.
- 6. Generally, the Commission's rules may he waived for good cause shown." As noted by tlie Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit, however, agency rules are presumed valid." The Commission may exercise its discretion to waive a rule where the particular facts make strict compliance inconsistent with the public interest." In addition, the Commission may take into account considerations of hardship, equity, or more effective implementation of overall policy on an individual basis. Waiver of the Commission's rules is therefore appropriate only if special circumstances warrant a deviation from the general rule, and such a deviation will serve the public interest. In evaluating petitions seeking a waiver of the rule freezing study area boundaries, the Commission traditionally has applied a three-prong standard: (1) the change in study area boundaries must not adversely affect the universal service fund; (2) no state commission having regulatory authority over the transferred exchanges may oppose the transfer; and (3) the transfer must be in the public interest. For the reasons discussed below, we conclude that petitioners have satisfied these criteria and have demonstrated that good cause exists for waiver of the Commission's study area freeze rule.
- 7. First, we conclude that Arvig and Blackduck have demonstrated that the proposed change in the study area boundaries will not adversely affect any of the universal service support

²¹ WAIT Radio v FCC, 418 F.2d 1153, 1159 (D.C. Cir. 1969), cert. denied, 409 U.S. 1027 (1972).

¹⁸ Blackduck Telephone Company and Arvig Telephone Company Seek a Waiver of Section 54.305 and rhe Definition of "Study Area" in Part 36 of the Commission's Rules, Public Notice, 16 FCC Rcd 5361 (Com. Car Bur. 2001). The Common Carrier Bureau was subsequently renamed the Wireline Competition Bureau.

¹⁹ See USTA Comments at 2

²⁰ 47 C.F.R. § 1.3.

Northeast Cellular Telephone $Co \ v \ FCC$, 897 F.2d 1164, 1166 (D.C.Cir. 1990).

²³ WAIT Radio, 418 F.2d at 1159: Northeast Cellular, 897 F.2d at 1166

²⁴ See, e.g., US WEST Communications, Inc., and Eagle Telecommunications, Inc., Peritionfor Waiver of the Definition of "Study Area" Contained in Part 36, Appendix-Glossary of the Commission's Rules, 94-27, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 10 FCC Red 1871, 1872 (1995) (Eagle).

mechanisms. **A** study area waiver will not be granted if it results in an annual aggregate shift in high-cost support in an amount equal to or greater than one percent of the total high-cost support, unless the parties demonstrate an extraordiiary public interest benefit.²⁵ For the reasons discussed below, we do not believe that the proposed study area waiver will result in such a shift.

- Under section 54.305 of the Coinmission's rules, rural carriers purchasing exchanges initially may only receive the same level of per-line universal service support that the selling company was receiving for those exchanges prior to the transfer. Accordingly, Blackduck initially will receive the same per-line levels of support, including high-cost loop support, local switching support, and LTS, for which the Ash River exchange was eligible prior to its transfer. Although Blackduck, a rural LEC, may become eligible for additional high-cost loop support for new investments in the acquired exchanges under the Coinmission's safety valve mechanism, we believe that this amount would be well below one percent of the total high-cost loop support fund. In reaching this conclusion, we note that the proposed study area waiver only involves the transfer of approximately 16 access lines. Moreover, as discussed above, an individual rural carrier's safety valve support is capped at 50 percent of any positive difference between the amount of high-cost loop support that the rural carrier would qualify for in the index year for the acquired access lines and amounts of support that the carrier would qualify for in subsequent years. Because the total amount of safety valve support available to rural carriers is capped at 5 percent of annual high-cost loop support available to rural carriers, actual amounts of safety valve support available to individual rural carriers may be further reduced.
- 9. Likewise, we find that providing ICLS support to Blackduck will not result in more than a one-percent change in the total amount of high-cost support.³⁰ Blackduck estimates that it may be eligible to receive annual ICLS in the amount of \$8,500 per year, approximately the same amount that Arvig currently is eligible for in the Ash River exchange.³¹ The total amount of funding for the high-cost

Because of the operation of the indexed cap, any study area reconfiguration that increases the high-cost loop support of one recipient often reduces that of other recipients. In evaluating whether a study area change would have an adverse impact on the distribution or level of the high-cost loop support fund, the Commission determined that a "one-percent" guideline should be applied to study area waivers filed after January 5, 1995. See Eagle. 10 FCC Rcd at 1774; see also Dickey Rural Telephone Cooperative et al., Joint Petition for Waiver of the Definition of "Study Area" Contained in the Part 36, Appendix-Glossary of the Commission's Rules, Petition for Waiver of Sections 61.41(c) and (d), 69.3(e)(11) and 69 605(c), CC Docket No. 96-45, Order, 16 FCC Rcd 16881 (Wir. Comp. Bur. 2002).

Below, we deny a request for waiver of section 54.305. *See infra* discussion at para. 13. The term "rural carrier" refers to an incumbent local exchange carrier that meets the definition of "rural telephone company" in section 3(37) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. § 153(37).

²⁷ See 47 U.S.C. § 153(37). Because Blackduck provides telephone exchange service to a local exchange study area with fewer than 100,000 access lines, it satisfies the definition of "rural telephone company" in the Act.

²⁸ See 47 C.F.R. § 54.305(d)

²⁹ See 47 C.F.R. § 54.305(e).

³⁰ See47 C.F.R.§ 54.902

Letter from Rebecca **Duke.** counsel for Arvig Telephone Company and Blackduck Telephone Company, to Sharon Webber, Federal Communications Commission, filed Nov. **5,2002.**

mechanisms in 2003 is projected to be approximately \$3.3 billion." We therefore conclude that the combined total amount of ICLS support, in addition to any amounts Blackduck may be eligible to receive in safety valve support, will not liave an adverse impact on the universal service mechanisms."

- Second, no state commission with regulatory authority over the transferred exchanges opposes the transfer. The Minnesota Public Utilities Commission has indicated that it does not object to grant of the study area waiver."
- Finally, we conclude that the public interest is served by a waiver of the study area freeze rule to permit Arvig to remove the Ash River exchange from its study area and to permit Blackduck to include the acquired exchange in its Minnesota study area. In its petition, Blackduck states its intent to make significant improvements to the Ash River exchange, including switch upgrades, upgrades of microwave connections, building refurbishment or replacement, and line expansions. Blackduck asserts that these upgrades will improve service to customers and add to the array of switching services to which customers are able to subscribe. Based on these representations, we conclude that Blackduck has demonstrated that grant of this waiver request serves the public interest.
- 12. On May II,2001, the Commission adopted a Report and Order requiring incumbent LECs to freeze, on an interim basis, the Part 36 jurisdictional rules beginning July I, 2001.³⁷ In the *Separations Freeze Order*, the Commission addressed how an incumbent LEC acquiring exchanges from another incumbent LEC shall recalculate their frozen separations factors.³⁸ Accordingly, Blackduck is required to recalculate its jurisdictional separations factors pursuant to the *Separations Freeze Order* and Commission rules.

B. Waiver of Section 54.305

13. We are not persuaded that good cause exists for us to grant Blackduck's request for waiver of section 54.305 of the Commission's rules. At the time Blackduck filed its petition, section 54.305 provided that a carrier acquiring exchanges from an unaffiliated carrier would indefinitely be limited to the same per-line levels of higli-cost universal service support for which the acquired

³² See Universal Service Administrative Company, Federal Universal Service Support Mechanisms Fund Size Projections for **the** First Quarter of 2003, at **9-19**, filed Nov. 1, 2002, available at http://www.universalservice.org/overview/filings/2003q1/102003%20FCC%20110102.doc.

³³ See 41 C.F.R. § 54.305.

³⁴ See Petition at Attachment 3

³⁵ Investments by Blackduck to accomplish these upgrades are estimated to be: switch upgrade, \$100,000; microwave upgrade, \$50,000; building refurbishment, \$20,000; and line expansion, \$15,000.

³⁶ See Petition at 6

³⁷ See generally, Jurisdictional Separations and Referral to the Federal-Bate Joint Board, Report and Order, CC Docket No. 80-286, FCC 01-162 (rel. May 22, 2001)(Separations Freeze Order). See also 47 C.F.R. § 36.3(c) and (d).

³⁸ See Separations Freeze Order at paras. 48-53

exchanges were eligible prior to their transfer." Shortly after Blackduck filed its petition, the Commission amended section 54.305 to enable rural carriers to receive additional high-cost loop support for new investments in acquired exchanges. Section 54.305 was modified to address concerns that the limits on high-cost support for acquired exchanges in section 54.305 may discourage rural carriers from acquiring high-cost exchanges from carriers with low average costs and may prevent rural carriers from receiving support for new investments in recently-acquired high-costexchanges. These are the very concerns raised by Blackduck in its waiver petition. The Commission concluded that safety valve support would provide appropriate incentives for rural carriers, such as Blackduck, that will be operating tecently-acquired exchanges, to invest in rural infrastructure. If, as predicted, Blackduck makes significant new investments in the acquired access lines, it may become eligible for additional safety valve support. We therefore reject Blackduck's claims that operation of section 54.305 of the Commission's rules will limit it to \$26,465 in high-cost loop support annually, the amount of support for which the acquired access lines currently are eligible, and will prevent it from making needed upgrades to the acquired access lines."

IV. ORDERING CLAUSES

14. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to sections 1, 4(i), 5(c), 201, and 202 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C.§§ 151, 154(i), 155(c), 201, and 202, and sections 0.91, 0.291, and 1.3 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, and 1.3, that the petition for waiver of Part 36, Appendix-Glossary, of the Commission's rules, filed by Blackduck Telephone Company and Arvig Telephone Company on February 6,2001, IS GRANTED, as described herein.

³⁹ 47 C.F.R. § 54.305.

⁴⁰ Blackduck filed its petition on February 6,2002, and the Commission released a Report and Order amending section 54.305 its rules on May 23, 2001. *See RTF Order*, 16 FCC Rcd at 11284.

⁴¹ See id.; see also In (heMatter of Mescalero Apache Telecom, Inc., Waiver of Section 54.305 of the Commission's Rules, CC Docket No. 96-45, Order, 16 FCC Rcd 1312 (2001) (granting a request for waiver of section 54.305 of the Coinmission's rules prior to the establishment of the safety valve mechanism to enable a newformed tribally-owned carrier serving a geographically remote area with low levels of subscribership and antiquated infrastructure to receive high-cost loop support in an acquired exchange based on its own costs).

⁴² See Petition at 6-11

⁴³ See RTF Order. 16 FCC Rcd at 11276-77.

⁴⁴ See *supra* discussion at para. **I**1

⁴⁵ See Petition at 7

15. ITIS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to sections 1, 4(i), 5(c), 201, and 202 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151, 154(i), 155(c), 201, and 202, and sections 0.91, 0.291, and 1.3 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R.§§ 0.91, 0.291, and 1.3, that the petition for waiver of section 54.305, tiled by Blackduck Telephone Company on February 6,2001, **IS** DENIED.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Mark G. Seifert

Acting Chief, Telecommunications Access Policy Division